



**HON. SHIRA A. SCHEINDLIN (RET.)**

*United States District Court Judge, Southern District of New York, Court Appointed Special Master*

The Honorable Shira A. Scheindlin was appointed by President Bill Clinton as a Federal Judge of the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York and served with distinction for 22 years. She presided over settlements, motions, discovery and trials – both civil and criminal. She also sat by designation on the Second and Ninth Circuit Courts of Appeals during her time as a jurist. Judge Scheindlin left the bench in May 2016. In the two years that followed, she arbitrated and mediated numerous complex civil cases, has heard mock arguments in several high-profile disputes, has served as an expert witness, and has been appointed as a Special Master by the federal court in Manhattan on two occasions.

Judge Scheindlin has handled dozens of mediations, mostly in complex commercial cases involving, securities class actions, ERISA litigation, employment discrimination, real estate, residential mortgage-backed securities (RMBS) fraud, Jones Act/maritime, intellectual property, construction, and breach of contract. She has also overseen a number of general commercial, product liability, cybersecurity and terrorist attack cases. Judge Scheindlin is known to be well prepared and adept at comprehending even the most complex issues.

Representative matters in which Judge Scheindlin has served as an arbitrator include, among others, a commercial/bankruptcy case in which a portion of a group of Secured Lenders, signatories to Credit Documents, sought millions of dollars claiming that they were not allocated a fair share of the proceeds; a commercial transaction between two pharmaceutical companies with one claiming that a stream of payments totaling millions of dollars continued to be owed to the other; wrongful termination, breach of contract, and a cybersecurity issue involving an investor's loss of funds in a cryptocurrency exchange.

Her experience acquired in over four decades of private practice and on the bench makes her exceptionally well-qualified to assist parties and their counsel in resolving disputes through arbitration or mediation. She is a hard-working and detail-oriented jurist whose even-handed disposition makes her highly effective in facilitating the resolution of a variety of complex matters.

In the mid-70s, she served as Chief Administrative United States Attorney and Deputy Chief of the Economic Crimes Unit in the United States Attorney's Office for the Eastern District of New York. She left to become the General Counsel of the Department of Investigations of the City of New York, and then returned to that Court as a Magistrate Judge for five years. That was followed by eight years in practice as a partner at two large New York City law firms representing clients in commercial litigation and product liability cases, in both state and federal court.

Judge Scheindlin has also participated in numerous mock arguments that include an appellate argument regarding a dispute between various insureds and their insurers regarding scope of coverage and tiers of coverage; a trial court argument regarding a very large antitrust case against a major computer component manufacturer; an ERISA class action dispute that involved a mandatory arbitration clause, and a LIBOR-related matter against a major U.S. bank. She has also served as an expert witness on issues of United States law in cases involving very large U.S. technology companies.

Judge Scheindlin is available to arbitrate and mediate cases throughout the United States.

**AREAS of EXPERIENCE**

- Appellate
- Attorney Fee / Malpractice
- Bankruptcy / Restructuring
- Civil Rights
- Contracts
- Electronic Discovery
- Employment / Labor
- Entertainment, Media & Sports
- Environmental / CERCLA
- Insurance / Reinsurance
- Intellectual Property (Trademark & Copyright)
- International Arbitration
- Investigations
- MDL
- Monitoring
- Patent
- Pharmaceuticals
- Product Liability
- RICO / ERISA
- Real Estate
- Securities / Financial Services
- Sexual Harassment

**REPRESENTATIVE MATTERS**

**SIGNIFICANT ARBITRATIONS:**

- A large commercial/bankruptcy case in which a portion of a group of Secured Lenders, signatories to certain Credit Documents, sought millions of dollars claiming that they were not allocated a fair share of the proceeds of the Collateral that secured the Loan following a sale of assets under section 363 of the Bankruptcy Code.
- A commercial transaction between two pharmaceutical companies with one claiming that a stream of payments (totaling millions of dollars) continued to be owed to the other based on an asset purchase agreement, despite the lack of success of the product.
- A catastrophic accident in which plaintiffs claimed they were injured as a result of a battery defect in a computer manufactured by a major computer manufacturer.
- A cybersecurity issue in the loss of funds by an investor in a cryptocurrency exchange.
- An attorneys’ fees dispute among successful plaintiffs’ counsel in a shareholder derivative action against a very large pharmaceutical company.

**SECURITIES**

- *Carpenters Pension Trust Fund of St. Louis v. Barclays PLC*: In a securities fraud class action, Judge Scheindlin issued two decisions granting the plaintiffs’ motion for class certification, holding that plaintiffs were entitled to rely on the fraud-on-the-market presumption that satisfies Rule 23’s predominance requirement. This ruling was affirmed by the Second Circuit in a landmark decision regarding the application of the well-known Cammer factors holding that an event study not necessarily required in every case.
- *SEC v. Wyly (“Wyly I-IV”)*: In an SEC civil enforcement action, Judge Scheindlin held that the SEC could only seek civil penalties against the Wyls for alleged securities violation spanning 13 years for conduct occurring no more than five years before the Wyls signed a tolling agreement. After a six -week trial, the Court ultimately found the Wyls liable for nine securities violations and ruled, in an issue of first impression, that the SEC could seek disgorgement in an amount equivalent to the taxes the defendants avoided paying.
- *GAMCO Investors, Inc., v. Vivendi, S.A.; In re Vivendi Universal, S.A. Sec. Litig.*: In Vivendi, a securities fraud class action, Judge Scheindlin presided over a lengthy jury trial which resulted in a significant verdict for investors. In Gamco, Judge Scheindlin held that Vivendi successfully rebutted the investors’ presumption of reliance on misstatements by showing that the investors would have transacted in securities notwithstanding any inflation in the market price caused by fraud. Both cases were affirmed in the Second Circuit.

- *The Pension Comm. of the Univ. of Montreal Pension Plan v. Back of Am. Sec., LLC.*: In this case, investors sued fund administrators and officers, seeking to recover losses stemming from liquidation of two British Virgin Islands (BVI) based hedge funds in which they held shares. The case involved claims under the Securities Act, the Exchange Act, and various common law claims under New York law.
- *In re: Initial Public Offering Sec. Litig.*: In the investors' suits against underwriters of initial public offerings, Judge Scheindlin granted the plaintiffs' motion for an Order of Final Approval of the Settlement, Plan of Allocation, and Class Certification. She awarded Plaintiffs' counsel fees and reimbursement of expenses totaling over \$46 million.
- *In re Optimal U.S. Litig.*: Judge Scheindlin dismissed securities fraud claims brought by a putative class of investors in Optimal, an investment fund that invested 100% of its assets with Bernie Madoff and his firm. Judge Scheindlin had issued an Order to Show Cause why the plaintiffs' securities law claims should not be dismissed in light of the Second Circuit's decision in Absolute Activist Value Master Fund, Ltd. v. Ficeto, which clarified the scope of extraterritorial application of the Securities Exchange Act after the Supreme Court's decision in Morrison v. National
- *Monroe Cnty. Employees' Retirement Sys. v. YPF Sociedad Anonima*: Judge Scheindlin dismissed a putative class action against an Argentinian energy company, its underwriters and executives, alleging violations of the Securities Act and Exchange Act. Judge Scheindlin held that the Securities Act claims were untimely, and the Exchange Act claims failed to adequately allege material misrepresentations or omissions, scienter, loss causation and reliance.

## INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY

- *Verint Systems Inc. v. Red Box Recorders Ltd.*: Judge Scheindlin issued a complex Markman decision in a case where an analytics company brought action against a competitor. Issues at stake included infringement of patents and counterclaims of noninfringement and invalidity.
- *Katiroll Company v. Kati Junction Inc.*: This action involved trade dress infringement and unfair competition under the Lanham Act as well as state law claims for infringement, unfair competition, breach of loyalty, breach of contract and misappropriation of trade secrets. Judge Scheindlin denied the motion to dismiss ruling that plaintiff had successfully stated claims for trade dress infringement under the Lanham Act, trademark infringement, breach of duty of loyalty, breach of contract, and misappropriation of trade secrets.
- *Eve of Milady v. Impression Bridal, Inc.*: In a copyright infringement action, Judge Scheindlin granted plaintiff bridal dress manufacturer's motion for preliminary injunction against a competitor. Judge Scheindlin held that the competitor's revised lace patterns as used on bridal dresses were substantially similar to plaintiff's lace patterns as used on bridal dresses.
- *American Stock Exchange, LLC v. Mopex, Inc.*: In a patent infringement action, Judge Scheindlin held that a patent for a type of security called "exchange traded funds" (ETFs) was invalid as anticipated by a prior publication. The case also involved a complex claim construction under Markman.
- *Luv N' Care Ltd. v. Toys "R" Us, Inc.*: This complex case involved patent infringement, trade dress infringement, and unfair competition under the Latham Act as well as unfair competition and trade dress dilution under New York Law. Judge Scheindlin dismissed plaintiffs' claim for "contributory infringement" as vague and insufficient to state a claim under the lqbal standard.

## ENVIRONMENTAL LAW

- *In re Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether ("MTBE") Products Liability Action*: This was a multidistrict litigation (MDL) where many states and municipalities sued virtually all of the major oil and gas companies. The overriding issue in these cases was the alleged contamination of groundwater based on contamination by MTBE, an additive in gasoline meant to reduce toxic emissions. Judge Scheindlin supervised this MDL for more than a decade issuing dozens of groundbreaking opinions. After a jury trial where the City of New York was awarded a \$105 million judgment against Exxon Mobil, Judge Scheindlin ruled that Exxon Mobil should not be liable for punitive damages because it had not recklessly disregarded the risks posed by MTBE. The Second Circuit affirmed.

- *BG Recovery Litigation I, LLC v. Barrick Gold Corp. et al*: In this suit shareholders opted out of a securities fraud class action and brought suit individually. Among the issues Judge Scheindlin decided were whether the company was making false statements regarding its compliance with Argentinian and Chilean environmental laws. She specifically evaluated whether the defendant's mining operations were impacting glaciers surrounding its operations, which would be a violation of environmental regulations. Judge Scheindlin held that plaintiffs adequately pleaded material misstatements regarding defendants' compliance with Chilean environmental regulations.

## CLASS ACTIONS

- *Peoples v. Fischer; Peoples v. Annucci*: In 2016, Judge Scheindlin approved a historic class action settlement reducing the frequency, duration and severity of solitary confinement conditions across all New York State prisons.
- *Laumann v. NHL (Laumann I-V)*: Judge Scheindlin certified an injunctive class under Rule 23(b)(2) holding that class members suffered an antitrust injury with respect to broadcasts of team sports, including, but not limited to, professional hockey and baseball leagues and the regional sports networks that televised their games. After this ruling and ruling on many motions in limine, the case settled on the eve of trial.
- *Finch v. New York State Office of Children and Family Services*: Judge Scheindlin's ruling that plaintiffs, who were subjects of indicated reports of child abuse and maltreatment possessed a protected liberty interest requiring prompt review of those allegations. The case eventually resolved in a landmark settlement that resulted in prompt administrative hearings.
- *In re Ski Train Fire in Kaprun, Austria on November 11, 2000*: Judge Scheindlin certified the first-ever "opt-in" plaintiff class outside of the Fair Labor Standards Act context. Scheindlin ruled that certifying an opt-in class was within her discretion as a matter of equity. This ruling was reversed in the Court of Appeals, although it has generated a lot of interest in scholarly journals.

## CIVIL RIGHTS

- *Floyd v. City of New York; Ligon v. City of New York*: Judge Scheindlin granted the Ligon plaintiffs' motion for a preliminary injunction in their complaint against Operation Clean Halls and ordered the NYPD to immediately cease its practice of conducting stops and frisks that were not based on reasonable suspicion of criminal conduct. After trial, the court found that the NYPD's stop-and-frisk practices violated the Fourth Amendment and the Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment, ordering comprehensive remedies for both cases.
- *Betances v. Fischer*: Judge Scheindlin denied the defendant's motion to dismiss plaintiffs' claim that the State Department of Corrections imposed unconstitutional post-release supervision ("PRS"). The Court held that the officials' refusal to comply with the Court of Appeals' decision holding administrative imposition of PRS violated prisoners' due process rights was not objectively reasonable.
- *The New York Times Co. v. United States Dep't of Labor*: The judge ruled that the newspaper, which was seeking to compel the Occupational Safety and Health Administration to disclose data regarding injury and illness rates for 13,000 worksites, had exhausted its administrative remedies following the Department of Labor's initial denial and that since the information the newspaper sought was not confidential information within a FOIA exemption, the DOL had to disclose the requested information.

## LABOR and EMPLOYMENT DISPUTES

- *Tomka v. Seiler Corp.*: A female employee brought a suit against her former employer and three male co-employees asserting claims of sexual harassment and retaliation in violation of Title VII. Judge Scheindlin, sitting by designation on the Second Circuit, held that the hostile work environment, retaliatory discharge, and unequal pay claims should not have been dismissed on summary judgment by the district court. She also held that individuals were not subject to liability under Title VII.
- *Mullins v. City of New York*: Judge Scheindlin held that the plaintiffs, police sergeants, were exempt from the Fair Labor Standards Act and were therefore entitled to recover overtime compensation.

- *Rosenblum v. Thomson Reuters*: An employee brought action against Thomson Reuters claiming retaliation, harassment and termination as a result of whistleblowing, which is claimed as “protected activity” under the Dodd Frank Act. In ruling on defendant’s motion to dismiss, Judge Scheindlin held that it was appropriate for the court to apply Chevron deference to the SEC’s interpretation of the Dodd Frank statute.
- *Gonder v. Dollar Tree Stores*: In a case involving racial discrimination and retaliation, employer sought to dismiss and to compel arbitration. Judge Scheindlin held that there was sufficient consideration to support the arbitration agreement and the employer did not waive its right to arbitrate.

**ELECTRONIC DISCOVERY**

- *Zubulake v. UBS Warburg*: Over the span of 15 months in 2003-2004, Judge Scheindlin issued five critical rulings in the case *Zubulake v. UBS Warburg*. Four of the five rulings (*Zubulake I, III, IV, and V*) defined requirements and/or established best practices for the e-discovery process. In *Zubulake V*, Judge Scheindlin imposed sanctions on the defendant as a result of the willful destruction of relevant information. Judge Scheindlin wrote that “counsel must take affirmative steps to monitor compliance” with regards to data preservation, essentially creating the mandate for proactive legal holds.
- *Pension Comm. of the Univ. of Montreal Pension Plan v. Back of Am. Sec., LLC*: In this case, the defendant moved for sanctions against plaintiffs, alleging that each plaintiff failed to preserve and produce documents, including ESI, and submitted false declarations about their document collection and preservation efforts. Judge Scheindlin held that the plaintiffs had a duty to preserve the documents upon the filing of the complaint. Grossly negligent plaintiffs were sanctioned with an adverse inference instruction, and both negligent and grossly negligent investors were subject to monetary sanctions.
- *National Day Laborer Org. Network v. Immigration and Customs Enforcement Agency*: This case involved the largest FOIA search in ICE’s history, where the vastness of the search made it unclear whether certain search terms would actually capture all responsive documents. Judge Scheindlin held that methods beyond keyword searches were required, including “computer-assisted” and “predictive”-coding approaches like “latent semantic indexing, statistical probability methods, and machine learning tools to find responsive documents.”
- *SEC v. Collins & Aikman*: In this case, Judge Scheindlin held that the SEC was obliged to search its own electronic data to produce responsive documents, submit materials allegedly covered by the deliberative process privilege to the Court for in camera review, and search its e-mail and attachments after deciding on a search protocol with the defendants. Judge Scheindlin concluded that the SEC is subject to the “same discovery rules that govern private parties (albeit with the benefit of additional privileges such as deliberative process and state secrets).”
- *Sekisui Am. Corp. v. Hart*: In this case, plaintiff failed to put a litigation hold in place until 15 months after it sent notice of claim to the defendant and waited another six months to notify its technology vendor to preserve relevant documents. Relying on the Second Circuit’s decision in *Residential Funding*, Judge Scheindlin granted the defendant’s sanctions motion, holding that an adverse inference sanction may be appropriate in cases involving the negligent destruction of evidence because each party should bear the risk of its own negligence.

**SELECTED LECTURES and PUBLICATIONS**

- Speaker, *If Not Now, When? Achieving Equality for Women Attorneys in the Courtroom and in ADR*
  - Defense Research Institute, 2019
  - Squire Patton Boggs, NY, 2019
  - UCLA Law School, 2018
  - Deutsche Bank, 2018
  - Defense Research Institute, 2018
  - General Motors, 2018
  - American Constitution Society, Washington, DC, 2018
  - Orlando Federal Bar Association, 2018
  - American Bar Association, 2018
  - Connecticut Federal Bar Association, 2018
  - American International Group (“AIG”), 2018
  - Reed Smith, 2018
  - Conflict Prevention & Resolution (“CPR”), 2018
  - New York State Bar Association, 2018



- Speaker, *Undermining the Courts and the Media: The Consequences for American Democracy – Judges Roundtable*, National Judicial College, 2018
- Speaker, *E-Discovery Bootcamp CLE*, Louisiana State Bar, 2018
- Speaker, *Keynote Address, A View From Male Attorneys and Women and Diverse Mentees*, Philadelphia Diversity Law Group, 2018
- Speaker, *Keynote Address*, ARIAS-US 2018 Fall Conference, 2018
- Speaker, *Diversity and Inclusion: Let's Talk Diversity*, New York International Arbitration Center, 2018
- Speaker, *Implicit Bias Is Real: A Candid Discussion on Subconscious Stereotyping*, College of Commercial Arbitrators, 2018
- Panelist, *Public and Private Benefits: MDL Diversity in Appointments*, NYU Center for Civic Justice, 2018
- Speaker, *AELC Employment Law*, 2018
- Speaker, *Implicit Bias Is Real: A Candid Discussion on Subconscious Stereotyping*, Westchester Bar Association, 2018
- Speaker, *Border Searches*, American Bar Association, 2018
- Speaker, *Panelist, MDL and Class Action Cases*, Duke Law Judicial Studies Center, 2018
- Panelist, *People Behaving Badly: Disassembling the Culture of Sexual Harassment*, American Arbitration Association, New York, NY, 2018
- Speaker, *Electronic Discovery*, Sedona Conference, 2018
- Panelist, *Rethinking Solitary Confinement: Where Do We Go From Here?*, John Jay College's Center on Media, Crime and Justice and the Langeloth Foundation, 2018
- Speaker, *Mass Torts*, ABA Litigation Conference, 2018
- Panelist, *Implicit Bias Study/Juror Reactions to Attorney Gender, Mass Torts Made Perfect*, 2018
- Moderator, *Symposium on Women Lawyers in the Courtroom*, Chicago Bar Association, 2018
- Speaker, *Prevent, Detect, Correct: Creating & Sustaining a Work Environment Free From Unlawful Harassment and Unwanted Lawsuits*, Practising Law Institute Webinar, 2018
- Panelist, *Recent Developments in Employment Law, EEOC*, South Asian Bar Association, 2018
- Scholar in Residence, University of Cincinnati, 2018
- Speaker, *Employment Law*, New York State Bar Association, 2018
- Speaker, *Diversity – Women Lawyers in Leadership 2018*, Practising Law Institute, 2018
- Speaker, *Diversity – Credible Roles in the Courtroom for Diverse & Women Attorneys*, Federal Bar Council, 2018
- Co-author, *Electronic Discovery and Digital Evidence in a Nutshell*, West Academic Publishing, 2009; Second Edition, 2016
- Co-author, *Electronic Discovery and Digital Evidence, Cases and Materials, American Casebook Series*, West Academic Publishing, 2008; Second Edition, 2012; Third Edition, 2016
- Author, *Random Thoughts of a Federal District Judge, Fourth Annual Institute for Investor Protection Conference: The New Landscape of Securities Fraud Class Actions*, Loyola University Chicago Law Journal, Spring 2015, Vol. 46, No. 3
- Author, *Big Data and Privacy: Finding the Balance*, New York Law Journal, 2014
- Co-author, *Criminal Law Catches Up: New ESI Guidelines Issued*, New York Law Journal, 2012
- Author, *The Future of Litigation*, New York Law Journal, 2010
- Co-author, *Sanctions in Electronic Discovery Cases: Views from the Judges*, 78 Fordham L. Rev., 2009

## **HONORS and AWARDS**

- Academy of Court Appointment Masters: Francis McGovern Award, 2019
- The Stanley H. Fuld Award for Outstanding Contributions to Commercial Law and Litigation, New York State Bar Association, 2014
- Distinguished Jurist Award from the National Association of Criminal Defense Lawyers, 2008
- William Nelson Cromwell Award for unselfish service to the profession and the community from the New York County Lawyers Association, 2007
- Edward Weinfeld Award for Distinguished Contributions to the Administration of Justice, New York County Lawyers, 2005
- William J. Brennan Award, Criminal Law Section, New York State Bar Association, 2003
- Robert L. Haig Award for distinguished public service, Commercial & Federal Litigation Section, New York State Bar Association, 2001
- Special Achievement Award in appreciation and recognition of Sustained Superior Performance of Duty, U.S. Department of Justice, 1980
- NAACP Image Award

## **JUDICIAL EXPERIENCE**

- Judge, United States District Court, Southern District of New York, 1994 – 2016
- United States Magistrate, U.S. District Court, Eastern District of New York, 1982 -1986
- Law Clerk, Hon. Charles Brieant, Jr., U.S. District Court, Southern District of New York, 1976-1977

## **LEGAL EXPERIENCE**

- Of Counsel, Stroock & Stroock & Lavan LLP
- Partner, Herzfeld & Rubin, P.C.
- Partner, Budd, Lerner, Gross, Rosenbaum, Greenberg & Sade
- Adjunct Professor, NYU Law School, 2017-Present, Brooklyn Law School
- General Counsel, New York City Department of Investigation
- Assistant U.S. Attorney, Eastern District of New York
  - Chief Administrative United States Attorney and Deputy Chief of the Economic Crimes Unit

## **PROFESSIONAL LICENSES and ADMISSIONS**

- New York State Bar
- United States Supreme Court

## **PROFESSIONAL AFFILIATIONS and ASSOCIATIONS**

- Chair, Federal Courts Subcommittee of the Standing Committee on the American Judicial System, American Bar Association
- Member, Advisory Council, Cornell Law School
- Member, former Chair, Commercial and Federal Litigation Section, New York State Bar Association
- Member, Council on Judicial Administration, Association of the Bar of the City of New York
- Board of Directors, Justice Resource Center (Mentor)

- President's Council, Good Shepherd Services
- Judicial Advisory Board, The Sedona Conference
- Board of Directors (Executive Committee), Lawyers Committee for Civil Rights Under Law
- Board of Directors, American Constitution Society
- Board of Directors, Bronx Defenders

## EDUCATION

- Cornell Law School, J.D., *cum laude*
- Columbia University, M.A.
- University of Michigan, A.B., *cum laude*